Case Number: PC-2022-00237

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

REVENUE CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Submitted: 1/13/2022 10:56 AM

Envelope: 3445101 Reviewer: Victoria H

PROVIDENCE, SC.		
STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, Plaintiff,)	
v.)	C.A. No.: PC 22-
NAVIENT CORPORATION; NAVIENT SOLUTIONS, LLC; PIONEER CREDIT RECOVERY, INC.: and GENERAL)))	2.1.1.0.1.1 e 22

COMPLAINT

SUPERIOR COURT

I. PLAINTIFF

- 1.1 The Plaintiff is the State of Rhode Island.
- 1.2 The Attorney General is authorized to commence this action pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1, *et seq*.

II. DEFENDANTS

- 2.1 Navient Corporation ("Navient Corp.") is a Delaware corporation with its principal executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware.
- 2.2 Navient Solutions, LLC ("Navient"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navient Corporation, is a corporation headquartered in Wilmington, Delaware. Navient was formerly known as Sallie Mae, Inc. or Sallie Mae, and was a subsidiary of SLM Corporation ("Former SLM Corporation") until April 2014. In April 2014, the Former SLM Corporation separated into two publicly traded entities: Navient Corp. and a new SLM Corporation. After the 2014 separation, Sallie Mae, Inc. changed its name to Navient Solutions, Inc. In 2017, Navient Solutions, Inc. changed its name to Navient Solutions, LLC.

Case Number: PC-2022-00237

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 1/13/2022 10:56 AM

Envelope: 3445101 Reviewer: Victoria H

2.3 Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc. ("Pioneer"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navient

Corporation, is a corporation based in Arcade, New York.

2.4 General Revenue Corporation ("GRC") is formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary

of Navient Corporation and an Ohio corporation with its principal executive offices in Mason,

Ohio.

III. JURISDICTION

3.1 The State files this complaint and institutes these proceedings under the

provisions of the Rhode Island Deceptive Trade Practices Act, R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1, et seq.

(the "DTPA").

3.2 Defendants have engaged in the conduct described below in Providence County

and elsewhere in the state of Rhode Island. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant

to R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-5.

IV. VENUE

4.1 Venue is proper in Providence County pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-5(b)

because Defendants transact business in Providence County by servicing and collecting on

student loans owed by borrowers in Providence County.

V. FACTS

5.1 Many students in the State of Rhode Island finance their educations in part

through federal and/or private student loans.

5.2 The State alleges that before the Former SLM Corporation split, Sallie Mae and

its lending affiliates originated subprime student loans that Sallie Mae expected would default

at high rates, and which did default at high rates.

5.3 Borrowers and cosigners have complained that Navient's billing and payment

systems made it difficult for borrowers and cosigners to control the application and allocation of

their payments.

COMPLAINT - 2

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 1/13/2022 10:56 AM

Envelope: 3445101 Reviewer: Victoria H

> 5.4 The State alleges that Navient encouraged federal student loan borrowers to

> contact it if they experienced difficulty repaying, and represented to borrowers that it would help

them make the right decision for their situation.

5.5 The State alleges that in the course of servicing federal student loans, Navient

placed some borrowers who were experiencing long-term financial distress or hardship into

forbearances or offered forbearances to such borrowers without adequately exploring whether

an alternative repayment plan, such as an income-driven repayment ("IDR") plan, would be more

appropriate for their circumstances.

5.6 The State alleges that Navient's IDR renewal notifications to federal student loan

borrowers did not adequately advise borrowers of the subject matter and urgency of the

notifications. The companies improved these notifications in December 2012 and March 2015,

respectively, after which they achieved higher levels of IDR recertification.

5.7 The State alleges that Navient misinformed some borrowers and cosigners

concerning the qualifications and criteria for cosigner release on some private student loans.

Between 2013 and 2016, Navient changed some of its cosigner release procedures and

disclosures.

5.8 The State alleges that Pioneer and GRC misinformed some defaulted federal

student loan borrowers about certain requirements and consequences of options for getting their

loans out of default, rehabilitation and consolidation.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

6.1 The State incorporates Paragraphs 1.1 through 5.8 herein as if set forth in their

entirety.

6.2 The State of Rhode Island alleges that Defendants' conduct, described above,

occurred in trade or commerce, affected the public interest, and that Defendants (or their

predecessors) violated the DTPA by:

COMPLAINT - 3

Number: PC-2022-00237

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 1/13/2022 10:56 AM

Envelope: 3445101

Reviewer: Victoria H

a. Originating private student loans that defaulted at high rates in order to gain access

to federally guaranteed or otherwise more profitable loan volume between 2001 and

2009;

b. Representing that Navient would help federal student loan borrowers find payment

options that fit their circumstances and budget and minimized costs, and then

offering or placing borrowers into forbearances without first exploring IDR plans;

c. Maintaining billing and payment systems that made it difficult for borrowers and

cosigners to control the application and allocation of their payments and furnishing

incorrect information related to cosigner release; and

d. Collecting student loans in an unfair or deceptive manner.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the State prays for the following relief:

7.1 A declaration that Defendants' acts described above are unfair or deceptive acts

or practices in trade or commerce, affecting the public interest, and in violation of the DPTA;

7.2 An injunction pursuant to the DTPA enjoining Defendants from engaging in any

acts that violate the DTPA, including, but not limited to, the unfair and deceptive acts and

practices alleged herein;

7.3 An order necessary to restore to any person an interest in any moneys or property,

real or personal, which may have been acquired by means of an act prohibited by the Consumer

Protection Act, pursuant to the DTPA;

7.4 An award of a civil penalty for each and every violation of the DTPA;

7.5 An award of the State's reasonable costs and attorney's fees incurred in this

action, pursuant to the DTPA; and

7.6 Any other award the Court determines is just and equitable.

COMPLAINT - 4

Case Number: PC-2022-00237

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 1/13/2022 10:56 AM

Envelope: 3445101 Reviewer: Victoria H

DATED this 13th day of January, 2022.

Respectfully Submitted,

State of Rhode Island, Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General By his attorney,

/s/ Stephen N. Provazza
Stephen N. Provazza (R.I. Bar #10435) Special Assistant Attorney General Chief, Consumer and Economic Justice Unit 150 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903

Tel: 401 274 4400 | Ext: 2476

Fax: 401-222-2995 sprovazza@riag.ri.gov