Case Number: PC-2021-07141 Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355 Reviewer: Dianna J.

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND
PROVIDENCE, SC.

SUPERIOR COURT

State v. Metro Motors, Inc.;

State v. MAG Ventures LLC; and

State v. Grieco Hyundai LLC.

C.A. Nos.: PC-2021-07141; PC-2022-01300; PC-2022-01301

ASSURANCE OF VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE

1. This Assurance of Voluntary Compliance ("AVC") is a consent agreement between the State of Rhode Island, by and through the Office of Attorney General Peter F. Neronha (the "OAG"), and Metro Motors, Inc. d/b/a Grieco Honda; MAG Ventures LLC d/b/a Grieco Toyota; and Grieco Hyundai LLC (together, the "Respondents") and is entered into and under R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1, et seq, entitled the Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA"). Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-6, this AVC shall not be considered an admission by the Respondents of any violation of the DTPA for any purpose.

AUTHORITY

2. The State of Rhode Island by and through the OAG has the authority pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-6, to accept an AVC in full settlement of these matters with respect to the Respondents' alleged violations of the DTPA.

JURISDICTION

3. Metro Motors, Inc. d/b/a Grieco Honda is a Rhode Island Domestic Profit Corporation registered with the Secretary of State. It operates a motor vehicle dealership in Johnston, Rhode Island and has a primary business address of 1880 Hartford Avenue, Johnston RI 02919.

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355

Reviewer: Dianna J.

4. MAG Ventures LLC d/b/a Grieco Toyota is a Rhode Island Domestic Limited Liability

Company registered with the Secretary of State. It operates a motor vehicle dealership in East

Providence, Rhode Island and has a primary business address of 415 Taunton Avenue, East

Providence, RI 02914.

5. Grieco Hyundai LLC is a Rhode Island Domestic Limited Liability Company registered with

the Secretary of State. It operates a motor vehicle dealership in Johnston, Rhode Island and has a

primary business address of 1890 Hartford Avenue, Johnston RI 02919.

6. The Superior Court of Providence County has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the

parties hereof pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-5(b).

STATE'S ALLEGATIONS

7. The Respondents operate new and used motor vehicle dealerships in Rhode Island. They are

licensed to operate these motor vehicle dealerships by the Rhode Island Motor Vehicle Dealers

License and Hearing Board. Therefore, each dealership is subject to the Division of Motor Vehicles'

Rules and Regulations regarding Dealers, Manufacturers and Rental Licenses, 280-30-20 R.I. Code R.

1.

Zurich Shield Charges

8. Between January 1, 2021 and March 18, 2022, each of the Respondents automatically charged

every consumer who purchased a new or used motor vehicle a \$249.00 cost for a pre-installed interior

and exterior sealant product and warranty known as "Basic Zurich Shield", unless a consumer elected

to purchase an upgraded version of said product and warranty at additional cost.

9. The existence and amount of the Basic Zurich Shield cost was not disclosed in any of the

Respondents' online advertisements.

10. Most consumers would learn about the Basic Zurich Shield product and warranty charge once

they began the vehicle purchase process.

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355 Reviewer: Dianna J.

11. The OAG alleges that consumers were automatically charged the cost for Basic Zurich Shield

product and warranty, before the Respondents obtained their express informed consent, and learned

of it once they had initiated the purchase process, often after committing a substantial amount of time

to visit the dealership, drive the vehicle, and negotiate the sale.

12. The OAG alleges that the practice described above was deceptive to consumers and unfair to

competing businesses. The DTPA prohibits businesses from "advertising goods or services with

intent not to sell them as advertised." R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1(6)(ix). The OAG alleges that this

practice allowed the Respondents to advertise a lower price for a vehicle than they ultimately intended

to sell it for, since the advertised price did not include the Basic Zurich Shield \$249 charge.

13. The OAG alleges that the practice described above also violated the Division of Motor

Vehicles ("DMV") Rules and Regulations regarding pricing and fees, which prohibit dealers from

automatically charging consumers fees other than sales tax, a documentation fee, and a title

preparation fee. 280-30-20 R.I. Code R. 1.6(M)-(N). The OAG further alleges that the practice

described above violated public policy as expressed in the DMV's Rules and Regulations, caused

consumer harm, and it was unfair under the DTPA.

14. The Respondents disagree with the OAG's contentions described in Paragraphs 11, 12, and

13 above.

Addendum Charges

15. During that same period described in paragraph 8 above, the Respondents listed motor

vehicles available for purchase on their various dealership websites.

16. These vehicle listings included a price for each motor vehicle and a disclosure that the price

shown "does not include taxes, license, or doc fee." These vehicle listings included the acronym

"MSRP" (when referring to price) - commonly understood to stand for the "Manufacturer's

Suggested Retail Price" – next to the listed price.

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355

Reviewer: Dianna J.

17. However, the Respondents had added up to a \$5,000 "addendum fee" or "market price

adjustment" to the sale price of certain new motor vehicles. This additional charge was not disclosed

in certain online vehicle listings and consumers may have only learned of the additional charge when

they contacted the dealership by phone or in-person. The OAG acknowledges that the Respondents

are free to sell new motor vehicles in excess of MSRP.

18. The OAG alleges that the practice of advertising a price for a motor vehicle that a dealership

does not intend to sell the vehicle at is deceptive to consumers and unfair to competing businesses.

The DTPA prohibits businesses from "advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as

advertised", and from engaging in conduct that "creates a likelihood of confusion or of

misunderstanding." R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1(6)(ix), (xii).

19. The OAG alleges that while motor vehicle dealerships may charge more for vehicles than what

the manufacturer "suggests" (i.e. MSRP), the practice described above allowed the Respondents to

advertise a lower price for a vehicle than they ultimately intended to sell it.

20. The Respondents disagree with the OAG's contentions described in Paragraphs 17, 18, and

19 above.

Price Advertising

21. In February and March 2022, MAG Ventures LLC advertised that certain used vehicles were

available at "wholesale prices" and that car buyers could "pay what we pay going to the auction."

22. DMV Rules and Regulations prohibit the use of the word "wholesale" and implications that

vehicles are being sold at "dealer cost." 280-30-20 R.I. Code R. 1.9(B)(3)-(4). This practice violated

public policy as expressed in the DMV's Rules and Regulations, and violated the DTPA. MAG

Ventures LLC acknowledges that an advertising company it hired placed the advertisement in

question, and that MAG Ventures LLC was responsible for ensuring that any advertisement placed

by the company fully complied with said Rules and Regulations.

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355

Reviewer: Dianna J.

THE RESPONDENTS' STATEMENT

23. The Respondents believe that they have consistently complied with the highest ethical

business standards in its automotive sales practices, and that the Respondents have a well-earned

reputation for honesty and integrity.

24. The vehicle shortage conditions created by the recent pandemic, presented the Respondents

and all new motor vehicle dealers with unique challenges which the automotive industry has never

previously faced.

25. The Respondents attempted to navigate in good faith the uncharted waters created by the

confluence of unanticipated and unprecedented events surrounding the pandemic and resulting

vehicle inventory shortages, and the Respondents believe that their business practices were consistent

with virtually all motor vehicle dealers both regionally and nationally who were facing the same novel

market conditions not previously experienced in the automotive industry.

26. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraph 8, the Respondents have stated

that if a consumer did not wish to purchase Basic Zurich Shield, the \$249.00 cost would be deducted

from the sale price of the new or used motor vehicle being purchased, and the consumer still received

the pre-installed product.

27. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraph 9, the Respondents have stated

that for the most part the Basic Zurich Shield cost was disclosed on a supplemental window sticker

affixed to each vehicle in inventory.

28. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraph 10, the Respondents have stated

that those consumers who previously viewed the supplemental window sticker, would be told about

Basic Zurich Shield and its cost upon inquiry, and offered the opportunity to purchase an upgraded

version of said product and warranty, with the Respondents representing that a substantial number of

consumers elected to purchase an upgraded version of said product and warranty at additional cost.

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355 Reviewer: Dianna J.

29. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraph 11, the Respondents disagree with

the OAG's position, and the Respondents believe the express informed consent for the Basic Zurich

Shield protection product was obtained from consumers.

30. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraph 12, the Respondents disagree with

the OAG's position, and the Respondents believe that the inclusion of the Basic Zurich Shield

protection product with the purchase of vehicles at a reasonable cost, was not deceptive to consumers

and not unfair to competing businesses.

31. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraph 13, the Respondents disagree with

the OAG's position, and the Respondents believe that the inclusion of Basic Zurich Shield product

and warranty with each motor vehicle sale, provided a significant benefit to consumers at a reasonable

cost.

32. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraph 17, the Respondents state that their

practice was to disclose the market price adjustment in an addendum "window sticker" affixed to

vehicles to which such market price adjustment applied.

33. In response to the OAG's position described in Paragraphs 18 and 19, the Respondents

disagree with the OAG's position, and specifically take the position that the mere reference to the

MSRP of a new motor vehicle in advertising or on a website listing does not constitutes a firm offer

to sell a motor vehicle for that MSRP.

COMPLIANCE PROVISIONS

34. Without admitting any prior regulatory violations, the Respondents agree that they shall:

a. Comply with the Division of Motor Vehicles Dealer Licensing and Registration Rules

and Regulations, 280-30-20 R.I. Code R. 1.6 and 1.9, which delineate what fees Rhode

Island motor vehicle dealers may charge in connection with motor vehicle sales and

how prices may be advertised;

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355 Reviewer: Dianna J.

b. Refrain from charging consumers for any products or services without obtaining their

express informed consent;

c. Refrain from charging consumers a Zurich Shield cost without obtaining their express

informed consent;

d. Refrain from advertising or listing on any website, any price for a motor vehicle in any

Rhode Island advertisement or website listing unless the vehicle or vehicles are actually

available for purchase at the price stated and as described, other than fees allowed

under applicable Rules and Regulations and sales tax;

e. Refrain from advertising the manufacturer's suggested retail price or "MSRP" unless

(1) the vehicle is available for purchase at that price or less, excluding registration fees,

title fees, documentation fees, and sales tax; or (2) the advertisement also includes the

price the vehicle is actually available for purchase; and

f. Refrain from advertising that motor vehicles are being offered for "wholesale" or

"auction" prices or at prices similar to the "dealer cost."

REFUNDS TO CONSUMERS

35. Within sixty (60) days from the date of this AVC, the Respondents shall provide each of the

6,635 consumers who paid for the Basic Zurich Shield product in connection with the purchase of a

motor vehicle, who did not affirmatively elect to purchase a more expensive Zurich Shield protectant

product and warranty between January 1, 2021 through March 18, 2022, a \$69.00 refund check (the

"Refund Process"), which is approximately the profit that the Respondents earned on each sale of

Basic Zurich Shield.

36. To distribute those refund checks, the Respondents shall retain a third-party settlement

administrator (the "Administrator") which has been approved by the OAG.

37. The Administrator shall be responsible for the following:

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355 Reviewer: Dianna J.

> a. Checking each eligible consumer name in the National Change of Address database to obtain updated address information;

> b. Printing and mailing to each eligible consumer a trifold check in the amount of \$69.00, with the title of the settlement printed on the front of the check in the return address field, and inserting in each mailing a cover letter from the Respondents;

c. The language of the cover letter to eligible consumers has been approved by the OAG, and explains that the refund is being made pursuant to a court-approved agreement with the OAG;

d. The check for \$69.00 shall be valid for a period of 90 days; and

e. Identifying updated address information and reissuing checks that are returned or uncashed within 90 days of issuance where updated address information is available.

f. Any excess funds from checks not cashed by consumers after the efforts described above, shall revert to the Respondents.

38. At the conclusion of the Refund Process, each Respondent shall provide a certification to the Office of the Attorney General that the Refund Process has been completed.

39. Within thirty (30) days after the refund process concludes, the parties shall execute and file Dismissal Stipulations for the above titled court matters.

PAYMENT TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

40. Within thirty (30) days of the Court's approval of this AVC, each Respondent shall pay the OAG \$33,334.00 for a total of \$100,002 for the OAG's costs and expenses related to this matter.

OTHER TERMS

41. The Respondents and the OAG agree that this AVC shall be binding on them, and that it shall be entered as an Order of the Court.

Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355 Reviewer: Dianna J.

42. The OAG hereby releases and discharges any claims it could have brought against the

Respondents and their principals, employees, and agents under the DTPA or other non-criminal

statutes and regulations limited to the conduct described herein and described in the OAG's pleadings

in the cases captioned in the heading of this AVC.

43. The Superior Court of Providence Rhode Island shall have jurisdiction over this AVC and the

parties hereto for the purpose of enabling the OAG or the Respondents to apply to this Court at any

time for orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate to enforce compliance with or to

punish violations of this AVC by any party.

44. Acceptance of this AVC by the OAG shall not be deemed approval by the OAG of any

practices or procedures of the Respondents not required by this Assurance, and the Respondents shall

make no representations to the contrary.

NOTICE

45. The Respondents may receive notice at:

Grieco Auto Group

85 Douglas Pike

Smithfield, RI 02917

46. The OAG may receive notice at and the Respondents shall notify the OAG of any change of

business name or address within twenty (20) days, by mailing a notice to:

Consumer Protection Unit

Rhode Island Office of the Attorney General

150 South Main Street

Providence, RI 02903

EFFECTIVE DATE

47. This AVC shall take effect immediately upon execution by the parties.

APPROVAL BY COURT

APPROVED and SO ORDERED. Signed:

Case Number: PC-2021-07141 Filed in Providence/Bristol County Superior Court

Submitted: 7/26/2023 1:41 PM

Envelope: 4207355 Reviewer: Dianna J.

FOR THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

PETER F. NERONHA ATTORNEY GENERAL BY HIS ATTORNEY,

Stephen N. Provazza

Special Assistant Attorney General

150 South Main Street Providence RI, 02903 SProvazza@riag.ri.gov (401) 274-4400

METRO MOTORS, INC.

By:

Michael Gaeco 30 Its Authorized Agent

MAG VENTURES LLC

Its Authorized Agent

GRIECO HYUNDAI LLC

Its Authorized Agent